

Shared at: <https://lanierlingvista.org/language-advocacy/world-readiness-career-readiness-langmag2025/>

# World-Readiness as Career Readiness in Language Education: Attracting Investment in Language Learning through Interdisciplinary Approaches

Dr. Amanda Lanier, Michigan State University

Language Magazine – January 2025

<https://languagemagazine.com/2025/02/13/world-readiness-as-career-readiness/>

<https://lanierlingvista.org> | <https://maflt.cal.msu.edu/amanda-lanier>

SCROLL DOWN FOR REFERENCES AND RESOURCES



*World language enrollments are declining and technology is advancing faster than we can imagine. How can we keep attracting investment in language learning and teaching?*

## Threats and opportunities for language learning...

**the end is not in sight**

In the year that I was born, coincidentally, Douglas Adams introduced the world to a very useful little creature called the Babel Fish. This “cyborganism” would live in your ear, feed on your brain waves, and allow you to interpret every language you encountered. The original Babel Fish was fictional, but it inspired the name of the first free online translator. [Announcing the launch of Altavista’s machine translation interface in 1997](#), one author clearly imagined replicating the Babel Fish’s miraculous effects:

*Voila!-- you're multilingual. No more need for flash cards, language labs, or grammar books. Just plug and play the fish. ([InfoTektur.com via the Wayback Machine](#))*

Considering that we did not start carrying around devices in our pockets that could access this translator for at least another decade, Altavista's machine translation was ground-breaking, but no threat to the language learning industry.

Fast forward to March 2024, however, when a headline in *The Atlantic* suggested a much more ominous effect of new technology: nothing less than "[The End of Foreign Language Education.](#)" In the article, [Matsakis \(2024\)](#) describes watching herself speak Chinese fluently in a video created with an app called HeyGen, though her actual proficiency was intermediate at best. Driven by generative artificial intelligence, apps like HeyGen operate on a plane of technological existence far more advanced than Altavista's BabelFish, but Matsakis is duly troubled by the possibilities for mis-translation and also deep fakes. Matsakis goes on to praise the virtues of skilled human interpreters, but what about language learning? Could this technology make it unnecessary? Is the end in sight?

Not in my view. Oh, I have happily explored the power of [my preferred AI assistant, Claude](#), to translate various texts to and from a dozen languages. Claude will even rewrite a passage in the style of a space pirate if you so desire. As Matsakis also argues, though, relying on an automated interpreter will not replace the wide range of knowledge and skills that develop through language learning.

I doubt that anyone who works in the field of foreign language education today feels that the field is entirely stable, but the advances in translation technology are far from the greatest threats to language learning in the U.S. The story of Western Virginia University shutting down its Department of World Languages, Literatures, and Linguistics and most of its language courses last year worries me far more, not least because the president who instigated this move seemed so confident that students who still wanted to learn a language could just replace their eliminated instructors with a language learning app ([Language Magazine, August 15, 2023](#)). The Modern Language Association (MLA) and the American Association of Applied Linguistics (AAAL) have both recognized Dr. Amy Thompson, chair of the now-closed department, for her efforts to prevent the closures, but the administrators and trustees of WVU remained convinced that other fields should take priority in the university's rescued budget.

## **Weak investment and low enrollments... which comes first?**

The WVU administration justified their choice to stop investing in language learning by pointing to decreases in enrollment, and unfortunately WVU is far from alone in that trend. The most recent MLA report states that the number of students taking a language course is less than 7% of the total enrolled in colleges and universities, and that enrollments have dropped nearly 30% since their peak in 2009. In U.S. primary and secondary schools, only 20% of children are studying a language other than English. In developed countries across the world other than the U.S., an average of 88% of 15-year-olds U.S. were studying an additional language in school, even when that average includes the lower proportions in other English-speaking countries like Australia and New Zealand ([Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2020](#)). Most of those students also begin learning additional languages much younger than our children do in the U.S.

Clearly, U.S. institutions are not investing in language learning to the same extent as other countries, but should we conclude that low enrollments mean that *demand* for language learning is low? That language learning is unnecessary? That these programs and the instructors teaching in them are not making valuable contributions to their students' preparation for the world beyond graduation?

The percentage of students in higher education enrolled in an additional language may sound small, but that 7% encompasses about 1.2 million students, at 2,455 institutions, in nearly 11,000 language programs, studying 258 different languages. The downturn in 2009 that began the recent 30% decline coincided with a drastic drop in government funding for language-related initiatives in higher education. In the twelve years since those cuts, appropriations not only have remained much lower but have barely increased enough to match inflation. In the K-12 domain, there is only one federal grant for starting and growing world language programs, and most elementary and middle school students are not studying a language because it is not offered in their school or even their district.

Supply is just as important as demand. Why is it easier to believe that low numbers mean low interest and low value than it is to recognize how difficult it is for learners to access stable and effective language learning opportunities?

## Teacher perspectives: Is the field in crisis?

For ten semesters over the last five years, I have taught a course in World Language Program Development and Administration to graduate students who are almost all currently working as language teachers, with experience ranging from a couple of years to multiple decades, in settings that include K-12, higher ed, military and diplomatic service programs, and community schools, in many different U.S. states and several other countries. Each semester, I have asked the same question in the first discussion prompt of the first module: *Is the field of language teaching in crisis?*

In ten semesters, none of my students has ever said “no.”

They never respond that they think the field is doing just fine. In fact, they say that they “strongly” and “definitely” and “absolutely” believe that the field is in crisis. The assigned readings that precede this discussion do not tell them that there is a crisis. They read [\*America's Languages: Investing in Language Education for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century\*](#), a 2017 report that only exists because members of Congress tasked the American Academy of Arts & Sciences with investigating ways to increase multilingual capacity in the U.S. The report begins by stating that:

*There is an emerging consensus among leaders in business and politics, teachers, scientists, and community members that proficiency in English is not sufficient to meet the nation's needs in a shrinking world, nor the needs of individual citizens who interact with other peoples and cultures more than at any other time in history. (p. viii)*

The report goes on to say that communicative competence in languages other than English is “critical to success in business, research, and international relations in the twenty-first century.”

This “growing consensus” does not seem to extend as far as the programs in which these teacher-learners work. As one of them stated:

*I think the biggest challenge... is the lack of prestige and importance given to the field. Foreign languages are not deemed as important as other subjects, especially math and science. I think if society as a whole were to look at foreign language as a tool that can help students succeed in any career field, then I think it would gain some prestige.*

Across all of these teacher-learners’ responses, their personal experiences and the strategies that might turn it around vary, but the evidence of a crisis is almost always the same: the lack of support for language learning and language teachers from the many stakeholders who influence their students and their programs.

They believe that gains in prestige would encourage the kind of investment that would allow them to receive sufficient resources, including better curriculum materials and specialized training so that teachers can develop and improve their skills. Perhaps more importantly, their learners would not be surrounded by influences that cut into their motivation and constrain their options to continue their language learning beyond the minimum requirements. As a teacher in Virginia put it this year:

*Many language programs at a school and district level are continually put on the back burner and treated like the red-headed stepchild of the family unit, which further damages our potential to grow and support our learners.*

The effect on a hard-working teacher who is passionate about languages and language learning but faces disregard can be devastating, especially when they also face extra demands and limited resources relative to other subject areas. A Spanish teacher in California admitted in his response last year that:

*I often wrestle with the question of how long I intend to stay in the field myself... Considering my passion and true belief in its transformative capabilities, this, at least personally, speaks to how sad it is to see how deeply the crisis runs.*

## **Building a Vision of Language Proficiency as Career Readiness**

As of next semester, I have decided to stop asking my graduate students if they think the crisis is real. Changing the discussion prompt will not protect them from the challenges of rapidly changing technology or budgetary decisions that are made far above their heads, but it will stop emphasizing threats when we need to focus on opportunities. They are not the audience that needs to be convinced to invest in language learning in order to build multilingual capacity in the U.S. work force. Together, though, we can build a vision of the benefits of language learning that may convince other stakeholders to increase support for our efforts and for our learners.

Here is the problem: We are very good at making arguments about the value of language learning that appeal to others who think just like us.

For those of us who have devoted our lives and careers to language education, we are well aware of the “transformative capabilities” of language learning. We revel in linguistic complexities, we recall the sense of discovery and freedom that language learning has brought

us, and we have been rewarded time and again when we have succeeded in breaking language barriers and bridging cultural divides. We want to believe that our passion is contagious, as long as our energy holds out. If we are very fortunate and convincing, a few of the 7% of students who are studying languages will have the audacity to follow us down the paths we have chosen, into degrees in language, literature, linguistics, or language teaching.

**We are also remarkably bad at framing arguments that align with the priorities of people who do not value multilingualism like we do.**

Language learning ought to enhance our perspective shifting skills more than that! Our language learners, their parents, teachers in other subject areas, administrators and supervisors, policy makers, and others may appreciate our enthusiasm but nevertheless choose to allocate their limited resources to other priorities. One of our MSU administrators recently stood up in a room full of faculty in humanities fields and announced quite frankly that he believes “the humanities are vulnerable.” He is an economist, he reminded us, specifically a competition economist, and as such he believes that our fields have to compete with more vocationally specific fields across campus.

We give in to that supposed vulnerability when we frame our arguments for the wrong audience and the wrong arguments for the audience that we need to target. The current generation of students moving from high school to higher education is particularly concerned with return on investment. According to [Deloitte’s 2024 Trends in Higher Education](#), they want “a compelling value proposition” to justify the expense of earning their degrees. However, they also know that they are inheriting a world of “wicked” problems that make it impossible to predict all the skills they will need in their careers. Problems like political unrest, environmental crises, aging populations, and relentless technological change will require ingenuity, adaptability, interdisciplinary collaboration, and international cooperation ([www2.deloitte.com](http://www2.deloitte.com)).

In this context, career readiness does not simply mean the ability to get a job right after graduating from college (though that is the metric that MSU Career Services uses to compare outcomes for various majors). It also does not mean preparation for a specific, highly predictable job that will remain largely the same for the next 30 years. Predictions about the future of work consistently state that today’s graduates should be prepared for innovations and challenges that we cannot begin to foresee. That preparation should develop versatile, flexible, and self-directed graduates who will be able to operate in a range of contexts and teams and manage their own lifelong learning.

**Global and local economies will need graduates entering the labor market who are able to support and promote international collaboration, for business purposes and to solve challenges that span national borders.**

To get our students there without language learning is possible, but well-designed language programs that emphasize world-readiness and intercultural competence can make a very valuable contribution by preparing our graduates to negotiate linguistic and cultural boundaries wherever they encounter them, locally and abroad. The argument that language learning is *essential* might not hold water. The argument that there is *no* benefit for your career prospects if you *do* study an additional language? That language proficiency will not open doors you want to walk through? Nonsense.

## Career Benefits for Language Learning - Stacking the Evidence

Who values the outcomes of language education?

### ➤ **Employers in Various Industries**

According to the 2019 IPSOS report [Making Languages Our Business](#), nearly 90% of employers in a broad range of industries, including construction, manufacturing, hospitality, travel, healthcare, education, and trade, who participated in their survey rely “some” or “a lot” on employees with multilingual skills, and over 50% of those employers expected their need for those skills to go up in the future ([www.languageconnectsfoundation.org](http://www.languageconnectsfoundation.org)).

**View this report and many other resources on the LCF website:**  
[Language Connects Foundation > Advocacy Resources](#)

### ➤ **U.S. Military and State Department**

All branches of the [U.S. Armed Forces](#) and the [State Department](#) offer incentive pay for foreign language proficiency that can add \$1200 to \$12,000 per year, and they do not require mastery of the target language ([Veteran.com](#)). Particularly in languages that are on the [Strategic Language List](#), bonuses can begin at Level 1 or 1+ on the [Interagency Language Roundtable \(ILR\) scale](#), approximately equivalent to **Intermediate-Mid** on the ACTFL scale.

At that level, which is not an unreasonable expectation for high school students participating in a well-structured program, the language user can “satisfy most travel and accommodation needs and a limited range of social demands beyond exchange of skeletal biographic information” but grammatical accuracy in “basic” features of the language is “evident, although not consistent.”

### ➤ **College and University Admissions Offices**

In fact, high school students across the U.S. can earn the [Seal of Biliteracy](#) if they demonstrate Intermediate-Mid or Intermediate-High (depending on the state) proficiency in English and an additional language, either their target language or a heritage language that they began learning in their home ([sealofbiliteracy.org](http://sealofbiliteracy.org)). Colleges and universities are increasingly likely to award course credits to incoming students who have earned the SoBL, and they may also award credits for lower levels of proficiency that are documented with the same widely-recognized assessments.

### ➤ **National Association of Colleges and Employers**

In addition to that explicit demand and reward for language proficiency, communicative language teaching can develop all eight of the Career Readiness Competences established by the [National Association of Colleges and Employers \(NACE\)](#): Communication, Critical Thinking, Equity & Inclusion, Leadership, Professionalism, Teamwork, Technology, and Career & Self-Development. Not all programs will cultivate these skills equally well, but task-based and project-based methods inherently involve collaborative activities that require teamwork and critical thinking, widely-used standards ask learners to express themselves appropriately in written and spoken modalities, and many language teachers also use a variety of technology, incorporate culturally sustaining pedagogy, and guide learners to self-evaluate as the progress along their language learning journey.



Given that many stakeholders believe that STEM fields should be prioritized over language learning, it is particularly intriguing that 95.5% of employers contributing to the [NACE 2024 Job Outlook](#) said that **Communication** was the most important of the eight Career-Readiness Competencies, but only 55.2% rated students as very or extremely proficient in Communication, almost 25% lower than students' ratings of themselves. As for **Technology**, over 80% of employers thought that students were very or extremely proficient. If 80% said that their potential employees' Technology skills seemed more than sufficient while nearly half had only passable Communication skills, why would we steer students away from courses that would specialize in communication and also often incorporate technology?

## Strategic Steps for Better World-Readiness Outcomes and Stronger World-Readiness Messages

These findings certainly can add some weight to your toolkit when you are communicating with learners, parents, and other audiences about the potential benefits of language learning. Teaching a world language effectively offers a whole ring of keys that will open doors to valuable and important opportunities. No matter how important these competencies are to employers, they will not automatically improve career prospects for language learners unless learners are successful in developing and documenting their language proficiency and the other career-readiness competencies that they may have developed in their language courses.

[The most recent report from the Modern Language Association \(MLA\) on language program enrollments](#) includes a series of recommendations based on departments that have had stable or increasing numbers in recent years: "Departments find that they improve their chances of success if they actively seek collaborations internally and externally, revise their programs to attract interest and meet student needs, and celebrate student success with scholarships, awards, and social events" ([Lusin, et al., 2023, p. 3](#)).

For language programs in K-12, higher education, and other settings that want to improve their messaging about the direct and transferable benefits of language study and also strengthen career-readiness outcomes for their learners, here are a few specific recommendations:

### 1. Manage Your Messages to Various Audiences of Stakeholders in Your Learners' Futures

This recommendation involves reviewing and adding to the vision for language learning as career readiness offered in this article and conveying it to the audiences that need to hear it in your context. These interactions can be incidental, but you can also incorporate your messaging into handouts, newsletters (your own and any published by your school), and social media posts. Invite parents and administrators into your classroom. Incorporate career-readiness content and tasks into your existing curriculum, and consider ways that your learners can generate their own means of conveying these messages to others through posters, videos, presentations, debates, showcases, or pitch sessions. Use the target language as much as possible, but these initiatives can be a valuable use of the 10% that remains when you aim for 90% target language use in the classroom.

## 2. Promote Communicative Methods and Assess Proficiency Regularly

Implement, or continue to implement, standardized assessment of proficiency. In order to reach the learning targets set by the Seal of Biliteracy within a few years, your entire curriculum will need to emphasize meaningful communication in all modalities, rather than memorization of vocabulary and unrealistic accuracy in grammatical forms that learners will rarely encounter. Regular assessment and thoughtful feedback on learners' performance each time they are assessed will help to normalize assessment, allow learners to experience success, and map their ongoing journey toward greater mastery of the language.

## 3. Consciously Incorporate Intercultural Competence and Global Competence Objectives

Teaching global competence effectively can be a natural outflow of teaching for intercultural competence along with proficiency, particularly if you follow task-based and content-based frameworks in which the tasks and content align with other subject areas. The [Programme for International Student Assessment \(PISA\)](#) defines **global competence** as:

the capacity to examine local, global, and intercultural issues; to understand and appreciate the perspectives and world views of others; to engage in open, appropriate, and effective interactions with people from different cultures; and to act for collective well-being and sustainable development. ([OECD/Asia Society, 2018](#))

You can discuss the concepts of global competence while maintaining a high proportion of target language use by downloading the versions of the OECD global competence materials in your target language, using a generative AI assistant to create simpler versions of the text or further translations, and even asking the AI assistant to suggest relevant linguistic features and activities.

## 4. Use Interdisciplinary Activities and Projects to Connect across the Curriculum

Interdisciplinary approaches help to illuminate the applicability of your course content and the skills learned your classroom to other contexts. Many programs are now doing this by using the [United Nations Sustainable Development Goals](#) as themes that correspond to content traditionally included in a language curriculum and also highlight connections with other fields ([sdgs.un.org/goals](#)). In K-12 and higher ed, language for specific purposes approaches involve creating courses and programs that include objectives for language development as well as objectives related to the relevant content, which can align with healthcare, business, hospitality, and construction, or other vocational fields.

Interdisciplinary initiatives, including courses, can also strengthen connections between language learning and internationally-oriented projects, research, etc. Gradually seek out collaborations with other units and initiatives that already stand to benefit from language learning and intercultural competence, including education abroad, internships, and research partnerships.



Also, articulate and enhance the benefits of language learning *beyond* proficiency, including intercultural competence and a variety of "soft skills" that are valued by employers as well as digital literacy, marketing skills, etc. **Microcredentialing** and other strategies that assess and demonstrate the skills that language learners are gaining will help to convey the return on investment in terms that are easily recognized and valued by other stakeholders.

## **5. Invest in Language Teacher Development so that They Can Lead these Initiatives**

The last recommendation is the most important: Greater investment in language teacher development, including courses that explicitly address a broadly-defined skills of leadership and advocacy, will equip them to carry out these initiatives in ways that align with their own values, skills, learners, and existing resources. Language teachers should not expect themselves to implement these initiatives alone, and administrations should not expect teachers they supervise to implement curriculum changes or outreach initiatives without allocating time and resources. Collaborate and adapt others' materials whenever possible.

Advocating for your program and emphasizing its career-readiness benefits program does not have to mean a huge amount of additional work or engaging in politics, in the sense of internal school power struggles or the U.S. legislative process. Many of the strategies above are steps that the teacher can implement, largely without broader support or collaboration. However, broader support and collaboration will make those steps far more feasible.

An important message for teachers is that change may be necessary, but first you should celebrate what your program is already doing in terms of meaningful and purposeful preparation for the "real world."

You can improve your practices, and you can make your program more visible to others, but in so doing you are entirely justified in holding back and resisting change if it means that you then have bandwidth to pay attention to what is important for the sustainability and growth of your program. All the best to you in these efforts!

You can reach me on LinkedIn or through my website, and I will gladly give you access to resources that my students and I have created and shared on the MAFLT website (<https://maflt.cal.msu.edu>) and on our showcase of master's projects created by teachers, for teachers (<https://mafltshowcase.common.msu.edu>).

## References

- ACTFL. (2019). *Making languages our business: Addressing foreign language demand among U.S. employers*. Language Connects Foundation. <https://www.languageconnectsfoundation.org/programs-initiatives/research/making-languages-our-business>
- Ament, K. (1999, April 27). Babelfish: English. *Infotekur.Com*. <https://web.archive.org/web/19990427232555/http://www.infotekur.com/demos/babelfish/en.html>
- Asia Society/OECD. (2018). *Teaching for Global Competence in a Rapidly Changing World*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264289024-en>
- Clark, C., Cluver, M., Fishman, T., & Kunkel, D. (2024). *2024 Higher Education Trends* (Deloitte Insights). Deloitte Center for Government Insights. <https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/industry/public-sector/latest-trends-in-higher-education.html>
- Commission on Language Learning. (2017). *America's languages: Investing in language education for the 21st century*. American Academy of Arts & Sciences. <https://www.amacad.org/publication/americas-languages>
- Language Magazine. (2023, August 15). WVU to dissolve entire languages & linguistics departments. <https://languagemagazine.com/2023/08/15/wvu-announces-plan-to-dissolve-entire-department-of-world-languages-literatures-and-linguistics/>
- Lusin, N., Peterson, T., Sulewski, C., & Zafer, R. (2023). *Enrollments in languages other than English in US institutions of higher education, Fall 2021*. <https://www-mla-org.proxy2.cl.msu.edu/content/download/191324/file/Enrollments-in-Languages-Other-Than-English-in-US-Institutions-of-Higher-Education-Fall-2021.pdf>
- Matsakis, L. (2024, March 26). The end of foreign-language education. *The Atlantic*. <https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2024/03/generative-ai-translation-education/677883/>
- National Association of Colleges and Employers. (2024). *Career readiness competencies for a career-ready workforce*. NACEweb.org. <https://www.naceweb.org/career-readiness/competencies/career-readiness-defined/>
- OECD. (2020). *PISA 2018 results (Volume VI): Are students ready to thrive in an interconnected world?* OECD. <https://doi.org/10.1787/d5f68679-en>
- United Nations. (2016). *THE 17 GOALS | Sustainable Development*. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. <https://sdgs.un.org/goals>
- Veteran.com: Foreign language proficiency bonus (FLPB). (2023, May 19). *Veteran.Com*. <https://veteran.com/foreign-language-proficiency-bonus/>



## **Advocacy for Language Education**

These resources and more are shared in this Wakelet Collection @LanierLingvista.

See:

<https://lanierlingvista.org/teaching-teachers/advocacy/>

## **Related Resources**

Advocacy for Language Education – Organizations and Resource Lists

### **JNCL-NCLIS**

<https://www.languagepolicy.org/advocacy-resources>

### **ACTFL > Advocacy**

<https://www.actfl.org/advocacy>

### **Language Connects Foundation > Advocacy**

<https://www.languageconnectsfoundation.org/programs-initiatives/public-education/advocacy>

### **Modern Language Association > Advocacy**

<https://www.mla.org/Resources/Advocacy>

### **TESOL > Advocacy**

## **Credentials and Assessment**

### **Seal of Biliteracy**

<https://sealofbiliteracy.org>

### **The Global Seal of Biliteracy**

<https://theglobalseal.com/>

### **Language Testing International**

<https://www.languagetesting.com/>

### **Avant Assessment**

<https://www.avantassessment.com/tests>

## World-Readiness and Global Competence

### **ACTFL Educator Resources > Summary of World-Readiness Standards for Learning Languages**

<https://www.actfl.org/educator-resources/world-readiness-standards-for-learning-languages/standards-summary>

### **Asia Society > Global Competence**

<https://asiasociety.org/mapping-nation/global-competence>

### **United Nations Sustainable Development Goals**

<https://sdgs.un.org/goals>

## Career Readiness, Employment, and Labor Market Trends

### **NACE: What is Career Readiness?**

<https://www.naceweb.org/career-readiness/competencies/career-readiness-defined>

### **Language Connects Foundation > Free Resources, e.g., Language & Careers**

<https://www.languageconnectsfoundation.org/connect-with-language>

### **Global Seal of Biliteracy > Language Certification in the Workplace**

<https://theglobalseal.com/language-credentials-careers>

### **Language Testing International Blog > Bilingual Professionals**

<https://www.languagetesting.com/blog/category/bilingual-professionals/>

### **Deloitte Insights > Higher Education**

<https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/focus/reimagining-higher-education.html>

### **U.S. Army Human Resources Command > Foreign Language Proficiency Bonus**

<https://www.hrc.army.mil/content/Foreign%20Language%20Proficiency%20Bonus>

## National and International Data and Comparisons

### **Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) > Key Indicators**

<https://www.oecd.org/en/data/indicators.html>

### **OECD Education GPS**

<https://gpseducation.oecd.org/>

### **Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA)**

<https://www.oecd.org/en/about/programmes/pisa.html>

**Modern Language Association > MLA Language Map**

<https://www.mla.org/Resources/Guidelines-and-Data/MLA-Language-Map>

**Strategic Language List**

<https://www.dlnseo.mil/Oversight/Readiness/>

**Technology – Generative AI and Language Learning Apps**

**Wakelet @LanierLingvista > AI Tools for Language Learning**

[https://wakelet.com/wake/e\\_Aaa64lbgGTqqAvImERE](https://wakelet.com/wake/e_Aaa64lbgGTqqAvImERE)

**Avant Assessment > Mira** <https://www.avantassessment.com/mira>

**Extempore > Ask Tempo** <https://help.extemporeapp.com/en/articles/10099736-using-extempore-s-task-assistant-ask-tempo>

**Claude.ai by Anthropic**

<https://www.anthropic.com/claude>